1 2 3 4 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 7 8 IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., Case No. 23-md-03084-CRB PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT 9 LITIGATION (PROPOSED) ORDER AS 10 **MODIFIED RE:** This Document Relates to: ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO 11 CONSIDER WHETHER ANOTHER **ALL CASES** PARTY'S MATERIAL SHOULD BE 12 FILED UNDER SESAL 13 14 Having considered Plaintiffs' June 5, 2024 Administrative Motion to Consider Whether 15 Another Party's Material Should Be Filed Under Seal, and Uber's Statement pursuant to Civil 16 Local Rule 79-5(f)(3) and (c)(1) (Dkt. No. 623), the Court hereby GRANTS (IN PART) 17 **DENIES (IN PART)** the Motion and ORDERS that the following materials shall be sealed: 18 **Document Description Designating Party** The Parties' Letter Brief re: Portions of briefing referring to Uber 19 documents the Parties filed the production of safety data and statistics under seal 20 Exhibit [C] to the Parties' A document produced by Uber Uber Letter Brief in this litigation 21 The declaration of Katherine Exhibit [D] to the Parties' Uber Letter Brief MacDonald filed under seal in 22 Case No. CJ-21-005188. Exhibit [G] to the Parties' The declaration of Randall Uber 23 Letter Brief Luskev filed under seal in Case No. CJ-21-005188. 24 25 IT IS SO ORDERED. 26 Dated: June 20, 2024

27

28

MON. LISA J. CISNEROS United States Magistrate Court Judge